

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.132 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.523 OF 2001
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.133 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.525 OF 2001
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.134 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.526 OF 2001
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.135 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.527 OF 2001

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Mumbai & Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

Dadaji R.Shewale, etc. etc. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.R.A.Malandkar for Applicants.

Mr.H.S.Venegavkar for Respondents.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

MARCH 23, 2006.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties.

2. Although these Applications are opposed by the Respondents, in my opinion, in the interest of justice, the same deserve to be allowed. This is so because the main matters were dismissed for want of service on Respondents. Now that the Respondents are appearing before this Court, that objection does not survive any longer.

3. Accordingly, as mentioned earlier, in the interest of justice, these Applications are allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b). Appeals from Order is restored to the file to their original numbers.

4. Interim relief which was granted earlier while entertaining the Appeals from Order will continue till the disposal of the Appeals from Order.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.